



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/706,425

11/12/2003

Joseph J. Kubler

14364US11

8617

7590 10/05/2009
Christopher C. Winslade
McAndrews, Held & Malloy
Suite 3400
500 W. Madison Street
Chicago, IL 60661

EXAMINER

ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2419

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/05/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/706,425	Applicant(s) KUBLER ET AL.	
	Examiner BO HUI A. ZHU	Art Unit 2419	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 April 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 22-70 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 22-70 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 22 – 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyerson et al. (US 5,579,487) in view of Morris et al. (US 4,884,132) and further in view of Kotani (US 4,847,891).

(1) with regard to claims 22, 37, 49 and 56:

Meyerson et al. discloses a system and method, comprising: an imaging device (CCD, 160 on Fig. 6; column 9 line 27) for capturing an image; processing circuitry (CPU 142 on Fig. 6 and circuitry 10, 16, 22, 50 on Fig. 1) for processing the image; a wireless communication interface (RF MOD, 30 on Fig. 1; column 5, lines 58 - 61); a display device (display, 50 on Fig. 1; column 6, lines 34 – 35) for providing feedback to a user;

Meyerson et al. does not expressly disclose using the wireless communication interface for transmitting image; and a path used by the device to wirelessly communicate data is automatically selected from a plurality of communication paths based upon a type of data being communicated wherein the type of data is one or both of processed image data/or speech data.

Morris et al. teaches an image being processed and transmitted over a cellular network (column 1, lines 35 - 39); and selecting a path automatically to be used by the device to wirelessly communicate the image data (column 1, lines 35 – 68, processed image data and/or speech data are transmitted wirelessly).

It would have been desirable to transmit image data over a wireless network and selecting a path automatically to be used by the device to wirelessly communicate data because it would improve the productivity of the system by having the processed image and/or speech data available to user at a remote location. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the system of Meyerson et al. with the teaching of Morris et al.

Kotani teaches selecting a path from a plurality of communication paths based upon a type of data being communicated wherein the type of data is one or both of processed data and/or speed data (Fig. 3A, “image transmission” for transmitting image data; Fig. 3B, “voice message output” for transmitting speed data).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Meyerson et al. to include the feature of selecting a path from a plurality of communication paths based upon a type of data being

Art Unit: 2419

communicated wherein the type of data is one or both of processed data and/or speed data as shown in Kotani in order to confirm a image transmitting operation has been performed automatically without manual participation by human.

(2) with regard to claims 23, 39, 51 and 57:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that the imaging device is a charge coupled device (column 9, line 27).

(3) with regard to claims 24, 40, 52 and 58:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that the image is a one dimensional code or a two dimensional code (column 9, lines 28 – 29).

(4) with regard to claims 25 – 27, 41 – 42 and 59 - 61 :

Meyerson et al. further discloses that the image is text, handwriting or a picture (text, handwriting or pictures can all be considered as a form of image, in one form or another; and the process in which image is being captured is viewed as the same function as information in the image is being identified).

(5) with regard to claims 28, 47 and 62:

Meyerson et al. discloses all of the subject matter as discussed above but fails to expressly disclose that the wireless communication interface (30; column 5, lines 58 – 60) is used for communication speech.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that the use of speech communication in cellular network is well known in the art. And it would have been desirable to use wireless communication interface for communicating speech because it would enable speech to be available to a remote location, thus increase the productivity of the

Art Unit: 2419

system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include speech communication into the system of Meyerson et al.

(6) with regard to claims 29, 46 and 63:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that the wireless communication interface is compatible with a cellular network (column 5, lines 58 – 60).

(7) with regard to claims 30 and 64:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that the wireless communication interface uses a spread spectrum technique (column 5, lines 58 – 61).

(8) with regard to claims 31 – 33, 45 and 65 - 67:

Meyerson et al. discloses all of the subject matter as discussed above but fails to expressly disclose that transmitting the image to a local area network, a packet network, or a TCP/IP network.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that local area network, packet network, and TCP/IP network are all well known in the art. It would have been desirable to transmit image over these networks because it would enable the image to be available to viewers as a remote location, and also is economical incentive since TCP/IP is a widely used technology and using it would eliminate the need for designing a brand new network protocol, make the network easier to be accessible by other networks. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use TCP/IP packet network and local area network in the system of Meyerson et al.

Art Unit: 2419

(9) with regard to claims 34, 35, 43, 44, 53, 54, 68 and 69 :

Meyerson et al. further discloses that decoding the image from a first representation to a second representation; and the second representation is a digital representation (the image signal captured by the CCD (160) is the first representation, the processing circuitry as shown on Fig. 1 has to decode the image to digital format, which is the second representation, in order for it to be processed by, for example the CPU 10 or the RAM unit 12; for a description of the operation of CPU 10 and RAM 12, please see column 4, line 45 - column 5, line 57).

(10) with regard to claims 36 and 70:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that a character recognition process (column 9, line 28, a bar code scanner does character recognition).

(11) with regard to claims 38 and 50:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that the capturing, the processing and the transmitting occurs within the same device (work slate unit, A on Fig. 1).

(12) with regard to claims 48 and 55:

Meyerson et al. further discloses displaying information to a user (column 6, lines 34 – 35).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BO HUI A. ZHU whose telephone number is (571)-270-1086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571)-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/B. A. Z./
Examiner, Art Unit 2419

/Jayanti K. Patel/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419